REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of this application in view of the amendments above and the following comments.

Certain claims have been canceled, and claim 29 has been made dependent on claim 28. Thus, all of the dependent claims now being considered are dependent ultimately on claim 28.

Applicants do not believe that any of the amendments introduce new matter. An early notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Claims 15-16, 18, 20, 26, 28 and 30 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Withbourne et al (US 6,110,483). In response, Applicants respectfully submit that Whitbourne does not anticipate the instant claims.

In responding to Applicants' previous arguments, the Examiner makes the point that they only pertain to claim 28 and the claims dependent thereon. Accordingly, in order to advance the prosecution, Applicants have canceled certain claims and made others depend on claim 28 so that ultimately all claims under consideration depend on claim 28.

Next, the Examiner takes the position that once the active agent is somehow entrapped by the polymer, a suspension is necessarily present. Thus, in Whitbourne's polymer-containing coatings the active will somehow form a suspension. However, the suspensions described by Whitbourne refer to different actives: Whitbourne describes hydrogel coating systems. Bioactive

USSN 10/659,894 Amendment under 37 CFR § 1.116 agents such as antibiotics or antimicrobials may be present releasably in the hydrogel system (Col 9, lines 1-5). Insoluble bioactive agents like silver can be dispersed in the coating solution (Col 9). However, gentamicin can according to Whitbourne be made soluble by converting it into the laurylsulfate and can subsequently be simply dissolved in the coating solvent. It follows that no dispersion or suspension is contemplated by Whitbourne when it can be avoided.

So, contrary to the Examiner's assertions, it is not true that Whitbourne describes suspensions of antibiotics in polymers. Furthermore, even if this were true—which Applicants do not concede—the presently required <u>forming of composite</u> is absent in Whitbourne. The Examiner notes that she applies a very ample definition of "suspension." However, a "suspension," in chemistry, is the state in which particles of a substance are mixed with a fluid but undissolved (Webster's Unabridged Dictionary, cf Wikipedia¹).

1 Suspension (chemistry) From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



200

Flour suspended in water (appears light blue because blue light is scattered off the flour particles to a greater extent than red light)

In <u>chemistry</u>, a **suspension** is a heterogeneous fluid containing solid particles that are sufficiently large for <u>sedimentation</u>. Usually they must be larger than 1 micrometre. The internal phase USSN 10/659,894

Amendment under 37 CFR § 1.116

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw this rejection. An early notice that this rejection has been reconsidered and withdrawn is earnestly solicited.

Claims 2, 4-6, 8, 10, 15-20, 26 and 28-30 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitbourne in view of Watanakunakom et al. ("Watanakunakom"), *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*, 6: 785-791 (1980), in view of McGhee, US 2001/0051669, in view of Rozzi et al. ("Rozzi"), US 2003/0138492. In response, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw this rejection as well. This rejection was premised on Whitbourne anticipating the basic aspects of the present invention, which, as shown above, is not, in fact, the case. Nothing in the secondary references overcomes the deficiencies of Whitbourne. Consequently, the combination of Whitbourne, Watanakunakom, McGhee and Rozzi fails to make out a *prima facie* case of the obviousness of the rejected claims.

(solid) is dispersed throughout the external phase (fluid) through mechanical <u>agitation</u>, with the use of certain excipients or suspending agents. Unlike <u>colloids</u>, suspensions will eventually settle. An example of a suspension would be sand in water. The suspended particles are visible under a microscope and will settle over time if left undisturbed. This distinguishes a suspension from a <u>colloid</u> in which the suspended particles are smaller and do not settle. ^[2] In a solution, the dissolved substance does not exist as a solid and the two are homogeneously mixed

A suspension of liquid droplets or fine solid particles in a gas is called an <u>aerosol</u>. In the <u>atmosphere</u> these consist of fine dust and <u>soot</u> particles, <u>sea salt</u>, <u>biogenic</u> and <u>volcanogenic sulfates</u>, <u>nitrates</u>, and <u>cloud</u> droplets.

Suspensions are classified on the basis of the <u>dispersed phase</u> and the <u>dispersion medium</u>, where the former is essentially solid while the latter may either be a solid, a liquid or a gas.

USSN 10/659,894 Amendment under 37 CFR § 1.116 Applicants believe that the foregoing constitutes a bona fide response to all outstanding

objections and rejections.

Applicants also believe that this application is in condition for immediate allowance.

However, should any issue(s) of a minor nature remain, the Examiner is respectfully requested to

telephone the undersigned at telephone number (212) 808-0700 so that the issue(s) might be

promptly resolved.

Early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

NORRIS MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A.

By /Kurt G. Briscoe/

Kurt G. Briscoe

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 33,141

875 Third Avenue - 18th Floor

New York, New York 10022

Phone: (212) 808-0700

Fax: (212) 808-0844

USSN 10/659,894 Amendment under 37 CFR § 1.116 14